

MAY 18 2006

Practitioner's Docket No. U 014811-7

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Milan VISNIC, et al.

Serial No.: 10/661,102

Filed: September 12, 2003

For: WALL MEMBER

Group No.: 3635

Examiner: Y. Horton

Mail Stop AF

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE UNDER
37 C.F.R. 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP
3635

NOTE: To take advantage of the expedited procedure the envelope in which this paper is mailed must be addressed as shown and must also be marked "Box AF" in the lower left hand corner. Alternatively, this paper can be hand carried to the particular Examining Group or other area of the Office in which the application is pending, in which case any envelope in which this paper is placed must be marked as in the bold type box above. Notice of September 20, 1985 (1059 O.G. 20-21).

STATUS INQUIRY

WARNING: Submission of a status letter after a Notice of Allowance may subject an application to a reduction in patent term adjustment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.1704(c)(10). See Notice of May 29, 2001. 1247 OG 111-112, June 26, 2001.

1. More than 1 month has passed since☐

NEW APPLICATIONS

the filing of this application on _____

No communication has been received from the Patent and Trademark Office indicating action on this application.

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a) and 1.10*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory;
Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

☐ deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

37 C.F.R. 1.8(a)

☐ with sufficient postage as first class mail.

37 C.F.R. 1.10*

☐ as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"
Mailing Label No. _____ (mandatory)

TRANSMISSION

☒ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office. to (571)-273-8300Date: May 18, 2006

Signature

William R. Evans

(type or print name of person certifying)

- Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Status Inquiry—page 1 of 3) 9-3

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 18 2006

- ☒ AFTER FINAL AMENDED APPLICATIONS
the filing of AFTER FINAL response on APRIL 6, 2006.
No further communication has been received from the Patent and Trademark Office.
- ☐ APPEALED APPLICATION
The Appeal Brief was filed on _____

(check and complete applicable items below)
- ☐ An Examiner's Answer was mailed on _____
- ☐ A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on _____
- ☐ ALLOWED APPLICATIONS
the mailing of FORM POL-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on _____

2. Kindly advise the undersigned of the present status of this application, by checking the appropriate box below.

NOTE: M.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as follows:

NEW APPLICATION

Current examining procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) of Form PTOL-37 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 in addition to a formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate the need for status inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his or her new application may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an exception, a status inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within three months from receipt of form PTOL-37.

Current examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner dockets of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates of the "oldest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the expected time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.

Therefore, it should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.

AMENDED APPLICATIONS

Amended applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within two months of the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not in order after reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. A postcard receipt for replies to the Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, will be considered prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filing of a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the need for a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the need for a petition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.113.

Reg. No.:


SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

William R. Evans, 25858, (212) 708-1930
(type or print name of practitioner)

Tel. No.: ()

P.O. Address

Customer No.:

c/o Ladas & Parry LLP
26 West 61st Street
New York, N. Y. 10023

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 18 2006

- ☒ **AFTER FINAL AMENDED APPLICATIONS**
the filing of AFTER FINAL response on APRIL 6, 2006.
No further communication has been received from the Patent and Trademark Office.
- ☐ **APPEALED APPLICATION**
The Appeal Brief was filed on _____

(check and complete applicable items below)
- ☐ An Examiner's Answer was mailed on _____
- ☐ A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on _____
- ☐ **ALLOWED APPLICATIONS**
the mailing of FORM POL-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on _____

2. Kindly advise the undersigned of the present status of this application, by checking the appropriate box below.

NOTE: M.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as follows:

NEW APPLICATION

Current examining procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) of Form PTOL-37 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 in addition to a formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate the need for status inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his or her new application may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an exception, a status inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within three months from receipt of form PTOL-37.

Current examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner dockets of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates of the "oldest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the expected time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.

Therefore, it should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.

AMENDED APPLICATIONS

Amended applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within two months of the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not in order after reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. A postcard receipt for replies to the Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, will be considered prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filing of a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the need for a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the need for a petition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.113.

Reg. No.:

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

William R. Evans, 25858, (212) 708-1930
(type or print name of practitioner)

Tel. No.: ()

P.O. Address

Customer No.:

c/o Ladas & Parry LLP
26 West 61st Street
New York, N. Y. 10023

(Status Inquiry—page 2 of 3) 9-3